

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/734,074	1	12/11/2003	Donald E. Weder	8403.635	8163
30589	7590	11/23/2004	•	EXAMINER	
DUNLAP, O		G & ROGERS P	GELLNER, JEFFREY L		
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		-	3643	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
		10/734,074	WEDER, DONALD E.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	• •						
THE - External effect - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 O	october 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 11 December 2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's IDS received 11 December 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species I in the reply filed on 19 October 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Non-elected claims 22-27 have been cancelled in the amendment received 19 October 2004.

· Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show element 14 as described in the specification at para. 0021.

Additionally, panel 43 is defined as panel 44 in para. 0022 and defined as panel 43 in para. 0021.

Additionally, "score line 45" of para. 0023 is probably shown as element 54 in Fig. 3

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In para. 0021, the first and last lines, "FIG. 2" should probably be --FIG. 3--.

In para. 0025, third line, "FIG. 2" should probably be --FIG. 3--.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,074

Art Unit: 3643

In para. 0036, first line, "FIG. 3" should probably be --FIG. 2--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 13 are objected to because of the following informality:

In claim 1, line 3, and line4, a --,-- should be placed after "area" in both instances so as to improve clarity of the language.

In claim 13, line 1, after "the" should be added --at-- so as to conform to prior used language.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Gnadt et al. (US 6024,224).

As to Claim 1, Gnadt et al. disclose a self erecting pot (Figs. 1-10) comprising at least one non-heat shrinkable sheet (40 of Figs. 2-9) having a inner area (12 of Fig. 2), a plurality of panels (14 and 22 of Fig. 2), and a score line disposed between the inner area and the plurality of panels (42 and 44 of Fig. 2); and, at least one heat shrinkable sheet (76 of Fig. 10; col. 3 lines 48-

Application/Control Number: 10/734,074

Art Unit: 3643

52) bonded (in that the shrink wrap would adhere to the non-heat sheet by friction, etc.) to the non-heat shrinkable sheet, such that, upon heating the heat shrinkable sheet shrinks (inherent) and pulls together and form the non-heat shrinkable sheet into the self erecting pot (from "insures that product retains its integrity" of col. 3 lines 48-52).

As to Claim 2, Gnadt et al. further disclose four panels (14, 18, 22, and 26 of Fig. 2).

As to Claim 3, Gnadt et al. further disclose the non-heat shrinkable sheet of material being paper (col. 4 lines 45-53).

As to Claim 5, Gnadt et al. further disclose the inner area being rectangular (Fig. 2).

As to Claim 8, Gnadt et al. further disclose the inner area as substantially fanciful.

As to Claims 9 and 10, Gnadt et al. further disclose six panels (14, 16, 18, 22, 24, 26) and eight panels (14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gnadt et al. (US 6024,224).

As to Claim 4, 6, and 7, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the inner area either circular, square, or triangular. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pot of Gnadt et al. by

having the inner area either circular, square, or triangular so as to meet needs of the article used with the pot.

Claims 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gnadt et al. (US 6024,224) in view of Dixon (US 5,186,988).

As to Claims 12 and 17, the limitations of Claims 1 and 2 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the heat shrinkable sheet being a plurality of layers. Dixon, however, discloses a shrinkable sheet with layer (50 of Figs. 1 and 3; col. 4 lines 40-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pot of Gnadt et al. by using a multiply shrinkable sheet as disclosed by Dixon so as to meet consumer demand.

As to Claims 13, 14, 18, and 19, the limitations of Claims 1 and 4 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the non-heat shrinkable sheet with a decorative pattern or design. Dixon, however, discloses a non-heat shrinkable sheet with a decorative pattern or design (52 of Figs. 1 and 3, in that since 52 shrinks less than 50, 52 is considered relatively non-heat shrinkable). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pot of Gnadt et al. by having designs/patterns on the non-heat shrinkable sheet as disclosed by Dixon so as to meet consumer demand.

As to Claims 15, 16, 20, and 21, the limitations of Claims 2 and 4 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the heat shrinkable sheet with a decorative pattern or design. Dixon, however, discloses a heat shrinkable sheet with a decorative pattern or design (50 of Figs. 1 and 3, in that since 52 shrinks less than 50, 50 is considered relatively heat shrinkable). It

Art Unit: 3643

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pot of Gnadt et al. by having designs/patterns on the heat shrinkable sheet as disclosed by Dixon so as to meet consumer demand.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Newsome, Kozlowski et al., Martin, and Childress et al. disclose in the prior art various container with shrink wrap regions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,074

Art Unit: 3643

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner